

9200003

TO AND TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Northrup King Co.

Whereas. There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED to be entitled to a certificate of plant variety protection under the LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT,

IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT JETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION: ACT AT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'S33-32'

In Lestimony Wathereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Liaxt Variety Protection Office to be affixed Washington, D.C. at the City of 30th day of November the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-two.

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

dward Madigin

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULT AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SEF APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PR (Instructions on reverse	OTECTION	I CERTIFICATE	de ce Inf	opplication is required in order to elemine it a plant variety protection rtilicate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). formation is held confidential until rtilicate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNAT		VARIETY NAME
Northrup King Co.		EXPERIMENTAL NO. X9034	on on	S33-32
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (Include area cod	le)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P O Box 959			_	PO NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55440		612-593-7333		92 0 0003
	•		F	Date Dotolur 9, 1991
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. FAN	IILY NAME (Botanie	: al)	L	Time
Glycine max	N G	A.M. P.M.		
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION	F	
Soybean		February 1991	E S	Date
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION	(Corporation, part	nership, association, etc.)	R	
Corporation			E C E	Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	12. DA	TE OF INCORPORATION	I V	1:630
Delaware 13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE II		1976	E D	10 - 1 - 20 1000
Northrup King Co. P O Box 959 Minneapolis, MN 55440 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow INSTRANCE) a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety. b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership. 1. X Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed Sample g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Treasurer 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY BY VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY BY VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY B	mailed to Plant V of the United St RIETY NAME ONLY NO (II "N 17. IF "YES" TO	ariety Protection Officestes." AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED S D," skip to item 18 below) ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF	EED? (See sec	N BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETED YES (II "YES," give names of countries and dates) NO 20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of the request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable. The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexuall uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled to prof Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jet the section of the sec	nis variety will y reproduced r tection under th	be furnished with the ap ovel plant variety, and e provisions of section 42	believe(s) t of the Plant	hat the variety is distinct,
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR T			DATE
New al day	1	esident, Resear		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OR T			October 7, 1991
State of ALL FRANKE (Autority)	CAPACITOR			/

EXHIBIT A

Origin and Breeding History of the Variety

The soybean variety 'S33-32' is derived from a cross between 'CM304-21' and a breeding line thought to have come from the cross 'Tracy' x 'Williams'. The cross was made in the summer of 1982. The F_1 and F_2 generations were advanced in the winter of 1982-83 and the F_3 in the summer of 1983. Individual F_3 plants were harvested and threshed individually and their progeny were yield tested in an F_4 line test in 1984. One of these lines, the progenitor of S33-32 was chosen based on performance to be retested in 1985. Because the original F_3 -derived line was heterogeneous for several characteristics, single F_5 plants were harvested and threshed individually. One of these selections was chosen on the basis of subsequent performance and uniformity to be released as S33-32.

S33-32 was tested in several midwestern locations from 1986 to 1990 and found to yield well in comparison to other Maturity Group III varieties. Descriptive traits including white flowers, light tawny pubescence, tan pods, and brown hilum were identified and confirmed. S33-32 was tested for reaction to Phytophthora megasperma by inoculating seedlings in the greenhouse with Races 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, and 19. It was found to contain the Rps 3 gene for resistance.

Seed increase of S33-32 was initiated in 1988. Breeder Seed was grown in 1989 and in 1990. A few plants with purple flowers were removed at flowering and a few with dark tawny or grey pubescence were removed prior to harvest. These were assumed to have come from outcrossing or admixture.

S33-32 is stable and uniform. The rare offtypes described previously can be explained by mixture or outcrossing. Varietal purity will be maintained by use of progeny rows as needed.

EXHIBIT B

Novelty Statement for the Variety

Soybean variety S33-32 is most similar to Pioneer 9341. It can be differentiated from 9341 on the basis of pubescence color and reaction to Race 3 of Phytophthora megasperma. S33-32 has light tawny pubescence and is resistant to Race 3 of Phytophthora; 9341 has tawny pubescence and is susceptible to Race 3.

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

Page 1 of 4

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME
	X9034	S33-32
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Coo		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P. O. Box 959		PVPO NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55440		
Attention: R. W. Romig		9200003
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the va in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided		
1. SEED SHAPE:) ()	
2		
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = $\langle 1.2 \rangle$ 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio \rangle 1.2; T/W = $\langle 1.2 \rangle$		(L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other	(Specify)
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)	•	
2 1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebs	oy'; 'Gasoy 17'}	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		
1 8 Grams per 100 seeds		
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
3 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown	4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Bla	ck 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 1 = Yellow 2 = Green		
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		
2 1 = Low 2 = High		
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:		
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)		
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		
2 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green wit 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson';		Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:	· .	
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	A = Ost == 10===16-1	
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other (Specify)	4

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-82)

11.	LEAFL	ET SIZE:		
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17')	
12.	LEAF	COLOR:		
	1	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton')	. •
13.	FLOW	ER COLOR:		
	1	1 = White 2 = Purple	3 = White with purple throat	
14.	POD C	OLOR:		
	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown	3 = Black	
15.	PLANT	PUBESCENCE COLOR:		
	2	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)	Light Tawny	
16.	PLANT	TYPES:		
	2	1 = Siender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton')	
17.	PLANT	HABIT:		
	3	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pe	2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') elican')	
		•		
18.	MATUF	RITY GROUP:		
18.	MATUF 6	RITY GROUP: 1 = 000		
	6	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII	II 12 = IX 13 = X	
	6 DISEAS	1 = 000	II 12 = IX 13 = X	
	6 DISEAS	1 = 000	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
	6 DISEAS	1 = 000	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
	6 DISEAS	1 = 000	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
	6 DISEAS	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
19.	DISEAS	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
19.	DISEAS	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) AL DISEASES: Brown Spot (Septoria glycines) Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina) Race 1 Race 2 R	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) AL DISEASES: Brown Spot (Septoria glycines) Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)	II 12 = IX 13 = X Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) var. sojensis)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) AL DISEASES: Brown Spot (Septoria glycines) Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina) Race 1 Race 2 R	Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) var. sojensis) Race 3 Race 4 Race 5 Other (Specify)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000	Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) Var. sojensis Race 3 Race 4 Race 5 Other (Specify)	
19.	DISEAS BACT	1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VII SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = ERIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli v Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) AL DISEASES: Brown Spot (Septoria glycines) Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina) Race 1 Race 2 R Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola) Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum vi	Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) Var. sojensis Race 3 Race 4 Race 5 Other (Specify)	

0	$^{\circ}$	\cap	\cap	\cap	\cap	7
フ	2	U	U	U	U	

19. DISEA	SE REACTION	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = R	esistant) (Continued)		
FUN	NGAL DISEASE	S: (Continued)			
1	Pod and Stem	Blight (Diaporthe phaseolorum var; sojae)			•
	Purple Seed S	tain (Cercospora kikuchii)			
	Rhizoctonia F	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)		•	
	Phytophthora	Rot (Phytophthora megasperma var. sojae)			
2	Race 1	2 Race 2 2 Race 3 2	Race 4 2 Race 5	1 Race 6 1	Race 7
	Race 8	2 Race 9 Other (Specify)			
VIR	AL DISEASES:				
	Bud Blight (To	obacco Ringspot Virus)	·		
		: (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)			
П		ic (Cowpea Chlorotic Vîrus)			
		ean Pod Mottle Virus)			
	!				
اللا		Soybean Mosaic Virus)			
NEW	AATODE DISEA				
	Г	Nematode (Heterodera glycines)			
	Race 1	Race 2 1 Race 3 1	Race 4 Other (S	pecify)	
	Lance Nemato	de (Hopiciaimus Colombus)			
	Southern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)			
	Northern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)			•
	Peanut Root K	(not Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)			
	Reniform Nen	natode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)			
	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):			
	0.00.04.054				·
20. PHYSIC		SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susception	ible; Z = Mesistant)		
		on Calcareous Soil	•		
		·)			
21. INSEC	T REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Res	sistant)		
	Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)			
	Potato Leaf H	opper (Empoasca fabae)			
	Other (Specify)			·
22. INDICA	ATE WHICH VA	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT	SUBMITTED.		
CHAI	RACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VAR	IETY
Plant Sh	hape	Pioneer 9341	Seed Coat Luster	Williams	
Leaf Sha	ape	Pioneer 9341	Seed Size	S31-33	
Leaf Co	lor	Fayette	Seed Shape	Williams	
Leaf Siz	re	S31-33	Seedling Pigmentation	S31-33	
			1		6

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY		PLANT LODGING	1 0	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE	NO.
		SCORE		CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	G/100 SEEDS	- SEEDS/ POD
Submitted	134	2.1	89	7.1	11.2	35.9	19.4	17.6	
ioneer 9341 Name of Similar Variety	134	2.2	88	6.7	10.8	36.2	19.3	15.1	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

EXHIBIT E

Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Soybean variety S33-32 was developed from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Co. believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Co. is the sole owner of the variety.